



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/441,318           | 11/16/1999     | PATRICIA L. CONKLIN  | BTI-41              | 4166            |
| 20808 7              | 590 01/31/2005 |                      | EXAM                | INER            |
| BROWN & MICHAELS, PC |                |                      | KUBELIK, ANNE R     |                 |
| 400 M & T BA         | NK BUILDING    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| ITHACA, NY 14850     |                |                      | 1638                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
| Nation of Abandanment   | 09/441,318   | CONKLIN ET AL.   |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | Anne R. Kubelik  | 1638   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | <del></del>  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | Mailing or Transmission dated                                      | ), which is after the expiration of the  |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does   | s not constitute a proper reply unde                               | r 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.   |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37   | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee                                |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See  |  | attempt at a proper reply, to the non-   |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.   | •  |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee all from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-   | 85).   |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was        ), which is after the expiration of the statutory  </li></ul>   | as received on (with a Cert<br>period for payment of the issue fee | ficate of Mailing or Transmission dated<br>(and publication fee) set in the Notice o |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance  | ce of \$ is due.   |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$   | The publication fee, if required by                                | 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has i   | not been received.   |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as red<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>   | quired by, and within the three-mon                                | th period set in, the Notice of  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>   | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or T                              | ransmission dated), which is   |
| (b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.   | ne attorney or agent of record, the a                              | assignee of the entire interest, or all of   |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | n attorney or agent (acting in a rep                               | resentative capacity under 37 CFR  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla  |  | ause the period for seeking court review   |
| 7. ⊠ The reason(s) below:   |  |  |
| On 27 January 2005 Meghan Van Leeuwen confin  | med that no response had beer                                      | ANNE KUBELIK PATENT EXAMINER   |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withd minimize any negative effects on patent term.  | raw the holding of abandonment under                               | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice  | of Abandonment   | Part of Paper No. 105  |